UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,707	08/05/2003	Thomas E. Nahill	G003/7271US0	7388	
	7590 04/03/200 BSE HENDRICKS & C	EXAMINER			
100 Cambridge Suite 2101		MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E			
BOSTON, MA	02114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/03/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mbien-aime@rhoiplaw.com cjoseph@rhoiplaw.com info@rhoiplaw.com

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/634,707		NAHILL ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Suzanne E.	McDowell	1791			
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication ap	opears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the No period for reply is specified aboto Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING I under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ng date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory perior ded period for reply will, by statu than three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event d will apply and will e te, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3)□ Since this application	nication(s) filed on <u>18 i</u> 2b)⊠ Th is in condition for allowa with the practice under	is action is nor ance except fo	n-final. r formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are rejute 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 8) ☐ Claim(s) are suffication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objective.	(s) is/are withdra allowed. ected. objected to. bject to restriction and/	awn from cons  /or election req	uirement.				
	st that any objection to the neet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/08.	rawing Review (PTO-948)	_	)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da )  Notice of Informal F )  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US Patent 6,929,836) in view of Stibal et al. (US Patent 5,656,719). Kikuchi et al. teaches a method of compression molding a preform by extruding a polyester composite molten resin (26), cutting the resin into portions, transporting the resulting molten resin lump (27) to a compression molding device (28, 29) and compression molding to form a multilayered preform (1), which is later stretch blow molded into a bottle (10) (column 8, lines 6-21). Regarding claims 2-5 and 7, Kikuchi et al. does not teach that the process is a continuous one by forming polyester polymer by melt phase polymerization and then continuously filling the compression molds. Stibal et al. teaches a method of making bottle preforms by continuously flowing a melt of polyethylene terephthalate or its copolyesters from a post-condensation reactor (3) into a molding tool, which may be for injection molding (7), fiber-spinning (column 6, lines 38-41), or extruder (column 6, lines 56-60). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the continuous flow as taught by Stibal et al. to modify the method taught by Kikuchi et al., in order to form a finished product with a lower acetaldehyde content, as taught by Stibal et al. (column 3, lines 25-45).

Regarding claim 6, Kikuchi et al. does not specifically teach filling and capping the bottles. It is generally well known in the art to immediately form a bottle from a preform. It would have been

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use well known molding methods, such as immediately forming the bottle from a preform, to modify the method taught by Kikuchi et al. in order to continuously form the bottles. Such a process would save time and money, by avoiding reheating of the preforms.

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on September 12, 2008 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday 8:30-4; Tuesday and Thursday 10-2.

Art Unit: 1791

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Suzanne E. McDowell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

SEM

March 29, 2009